UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/561,152	12/16/2005	Yukio Nagasaki	0171-1250PUS1	9582
2292 7590 07/09/2008 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			EXAMINER	
PO BOX 747		LISTVOYB, GREGORY		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/09/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/561,152	NAGASAKI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	GREGORY LISTVOYB	1796
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tute, cause the application to become ABAND	FION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ To 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the second se	his action is non-final. wance except for formal matters,	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	Irawn from consideration.	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrupt The oath or declaration is objected to by the	nccepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. rection is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a least to the priority document to th	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appli riority documents have been rec eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Ma	nary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application

Art Unit: 1796

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Korshak et al (Polyamidophenylchinoxaline, Acta polymerica34(1983), pp 213-215) herein Korshak.

Korshak teaches the following compound (see Scheme 1):

Where Y is direct bond (see page 213) and Ar and Ph are benzene rings.

The above compound is identical to one claimed in the claim 1 of the Application examined:

where R1 and R2 are Hydrogens.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Korshak.

Korshak teaches a diamine having a structure identical to one claimed in Claim 1 (see discussion above).

Korshak does not teach the diaminobenzene compound as defined in claim 2, where R1 and R2 each independently denotes a C1-20 alkyl group, C1-20 alkoxyl group, or C1-20 fluoroalkyl group.

Note that limitations of Claim 2 permits R1 and R2 to be C1 (Methyl) alkyl group.

In a case law (see *re Lohr* (CCPA 1963) 317F2D 38, 137 USPQ 548) related to a similar substitution, replacement of two Hydrogen groups to methyl groups was decided unpatentable, since unexpected results due to the above substitution were not shown.

Claims 3-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hamciuc et al (Compared properties of fluorinated heterocyclic copolyimides, Journal of Macromolecular Sci, Part A, v37, Issue 11, October 2000, pages 1407-1435, see Abstract and Search report p. 47-48) herein Hamciuc or Hamciuc et al (New silicon containing phenylquinoxaline-imide polymers, High performance polymers (2002), 14(1), pp 63-75, see Search report p.40) herein Hamciuc-2 in combination with Korshak.

Hamciuc teaches fluorinated heterocyclic copolyimides have been synthesized by a polycondensation reaction of a diacid chloride containing imide, hexafluoroisopropylidene and methylene groups with aromatic or heteroaromatic diamines containing preformed phenylquinoxaline or 1,3,4-oxadiazole rings (see Abstract).

Regarding Claim 3, Hamciuc teaches polymer with Molecular Weight within the range of 12800-26700.

Hamciuc-2 teaches a new polyimides with phenylquinoxaline rings (see Abstract and Search report p. 40).

In reference to Claim 5, Hamciuc -2 has more than 1% mol of phenylquinoxaline rings (see Search report, page 40).

Regarding Claims 6-8, Hamciuc -2 teaches phenyl groups in aromatic tetracarboxylic acid dianhydride (see Search report, page 40).

Regarding claims 9-13, Hamciuc -2 teaches fluorescent film with maximum fluorescent range of 415-425 nm (see Search report, p.40).

Hamciuc or Hamciuc -2 does not teach a polyamic acid and polyimide based on a diamine of formula (1). Instead the reference teaches a diamine of the following formula (2) (see Search report, p.47-48):

The difference between the diamine above and the diamine claimed is that the Hamciuc's material has two additional Aryl ether units.

Korshak teaches diamine having identical structure to one of the Application. The advantage of Korshak's diamine over Hamciuc's one is that it provides polymer with higher Tg due to higher stiffness of the diamine (Ph-O link provides more mobility of the

Art Unit: 1796

diamine molecule). Therefore, polyimides based on Korshak's diamine provide higher modulus, tensile strength and broader temperature range, which is useful for applications at elevated temperatures.

Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skills in the art to use Korshak's diamine in Hamciuc's copolyimide I order to achieve provide higher modulus, tensile strength and broader temperature range, which is useful for the applications at elevated temperatures.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-13 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to GREGORY LISTVOYB whose telephone number is (571)272-6105. The examiner can normally be reached on 10am-7pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on 571-272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/561,152 Page 7

Art Unit: 1796

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/ Irina S. Zemel/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796

GL